

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9085	
09/832,355	04/10/2001	Imre Kovesdi	205654		
23460	7590 06/11/2002				
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER		
180 NORTH	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE STETSON AVENUE	SPECTOR, LORRAINE			
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	10	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY.	DOCKET NO.	
				• •	EXAMINER		
			••				
				•	r *		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
						10	
						,	
	•			DATE	: MAILED:		
				. style west	arrows L.L.	ulan	
	This is a communication for	rom the examiner in cl	narge of your application.		9/	302	
	COMMISSIONER OF PAT	TENTS AND TRADEM	ARKS				
		••	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	•			
•			•		•		
	Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on $_$	·				
	This action is FINAL.						
Ö	Since this application is	s in condition for all	wance except for formal matters, prosecution	n as to the	e merits is cio	sed in _	
٠.	accordance with the pre	actice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
As	shortened statutory perio	od for response to th	is action is set to expire	moi	nth(s), or thirty	days,	
wh	ichever is longer, from th	e mailing date of thi	s communication. Failure to respond within th	ne period fo	or response wil	cause	
	application to become a 36(a).	ibandoned. (35 U.S	.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained	ea anaer u	te brovisions o	137 CFR	
Die	sposition of Claims				ş.	•	
ما		1 111					
M	Claim(s) Of the above, claim(s)	7-76				the application. n consideration.	
	Claim(s)	• •		137210	is/a	re allowed.	
	Claim(s)				is/a	re rejected.	
	Claim(s)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		objected to.	
ρŒ	_Claim(s)	76	are su	bject to res	striction or elec	tion requirement.	
Ap	plication Papers		•				
	See the attached Notic	e of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		*		
	The drawing(s) filed on		is/are objected t	· —	_		
. H	The proposed drawing The specification is obj			is [_]	approved	disapproved.	
	The oath or declaration	•					
Pr	lority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
	•						
Ш	Acknowledgment is ma	ide of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐	None of the CE	RTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	e been			
	received.						
	= ''		de/Serial Number)	·			
	received in this nat	tional stage applicat	ion from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).			
	*Certified copies not rece	eived:				·	
	Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Δt	tachment(s)						
~							
	Notice of Reference Cit	ted, PTO-892					
	Information Disclosure	Statement(s), PTO-	1449, Paper No(s)		*		
	Interview Summary, PT	O-413	•				
	Notice of Draftperson's	Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948	•			
	Notice of Informal Pate	nt Application, PTO	152				
	a service management of		OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING DAG	SEC			

Part III: Detailed Office Action

Species Election Requirement:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a) Angiopoietin 1 (claims 19-27, 37, 38, 42)
- b) HBNF (claims 19, 28-37, 38, 42)
- c) MK (claims 19, 28-37, 38, 42)
- d) acidic fibroblast growth factor (claims 37, 38, 42)
- e) alkaline phosphatase (claims 37, 38, 42).

Each species is drawn to a patentably distinct fusion protein, which requires a separate search of the prior art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1-18, 20-26, 31-36, 39-41 and 43-46 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

20

5

10

15

Advisory Information:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Lorraine M. Spector, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1793. Dr. Spector can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Gary L. Kunz, at (703)308-4623.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306 (before final rejection) or (703)872-9307 (after final). Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 746-5228.

25

5

10

15

20

30

35

LMS 09/832355.r 6/10/02 Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner